

11 Publication number:

0 193 355

12

EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

- Application number: 86301207.6
- ② Date of filing: 20.02.86

(A61K31/485, 31:135, 31:165)

3 Priority: 25.02.85 US 705176

- Applicant: ELI LILLY AND COMPANY, LIlly Corporate Center, Indianapolis Indiana 46285 (US)
- 43 Date of publication of application: 03.09.86 Bulletin 86/36
 - Inventor: Hynes, Martin Dennis, 3488 Eden Way Place, Carmel Indiana 46032 (US)
- Designated Contracting States: BE CH DE FR GB IT LI LU
 NL SE
- Representative: Tapping, Kenneth George et al, Erl Wood Manor, Windlesham Surrey, GU20 6PH (GB)
- Date of deferred publication of search report: 05.04.89 Bulletin 89/14
- Analgesic composition.
- (5) Codeine in combination with fluoxetine or norfluoxetine, optionally in further combination with aspirin or acetominophen, is a synergistic analgesic composition.

EP 0 193 355 A3



EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

0193355

Application Number

EP 86 30 1207

Category		IDERED TO BE RELEVA indication, where appropriate,		GI 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Category	of relevant p	assages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int. Cl. 4)
A,D	US-A-4 035 511 (R * Claim 1; column	.B. MESSING et al.) 2, lines 32-34 *	1,5	A 61 K 31/615 A 61 K 31/485
A,D	US-A-4 083 982 (R * Claim 1; column 2	.B. MESSING et al.) 2, lines 34-36 *	1,5	A 61 K 31/62 / (A 61 K 31/615 A 61 K 31:135
A	EMBASE no: 8504278; FRIOL-VERCELLETTO (medical des douleur QUEST MED. (FRANCE: (859-865) * Abstract *	et al.: "Le traitment rs chroniques". &	1	A 61 K 31:485) (A 61 K 31/485 A 61 K 31:135 A 61 K 31:165)
A	FR-M- 1 373 (SO POUR LA FABRICATION * Claims 1,2,3,4 *	OCIETE INDUSTRIELLE N DES ANTIBIOTIQUES)	1,5	
				TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int. Cl.4)
				A 61 K
}	· ·			
	The present search report has b	peen drawn up for all claims	_	•
TUE	Place of search	Date of completion of the search	_11	Examiner
INE	HAGUE	18-01-1989	PEET	ERS J.C.
X : part Y : part doct A : tech O : non	CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUME lcularly relevant if taken alone icularly relevant if combined with an iment of the same category nological background written disclosure mediate document	E : earlier patent after the filin other D : document cite L : document cite	d in the application of for other reasons	shed on, or

11 Publication number:

0 193 355 A2

12

EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

21 Application number: 86301207.6

(22) Date of filing: 20.02.86

(5) Int. Cl.4: A 61 K 31/615 A 61 K 31/485 //(A61K31/615, 31:135, 31:485), (A61K31/485, 31:135, 31:165)

(30) Priority: 25.02.85 US 705176

(43) Date of publication of application: 03.09.86 Bulletin 86/36

(B4) Designated Contracting States: BE CH DE FR GB IT LI LU NL SE (1) Applicant: ELI LILLY AND COMPANY **Lilly Corporate Center** Indianapolis Indiana 46285(US)

72 Inventor: Hynes, Martin Dennis 3488 Eden Way Place Carmel Indiana 46032(US)

(74) Representative: Tapping, Kenneth George et al, Erl Wood Manor Windlesham Surrey, GU20 6PH(GB)

⁽⁵⁴⁾ Analgesic composition.

⁽⁵⁾ Codeine in combination with fluoxetine or norfluoxetine, optionally in further combination with aspirin or acetominophen, is a synergistic analgesic composition.

ANALGESIC COMPOSITION

Fluoxetine [3-(4-trifluoromethylphenoxy)-Nmethyl-3-phenylpropylamine] has been shown to be a highly specific inhibitor of serotonin uptake. See 5 Fuller et al., <u>J. Pharm. Exp. Ther.</u>, <u>193</u>, 796 (1975) and Wong et al., id., 804 (1975). In addition, fluoxetine has been shown to possess analgesic properties when administered alone (U.S. Patent No. 4,035,511) or when given with morphine (U.S. Patent No. 4,083,982). 10 Whether this latter activity is described as a synergistic effect or that of fluoxetine potentiating the morphine analgesic activity appears to depend upon the test system employed to demonstrate the analgesic activity. See Messing et al., Physiopharmacology Comm., 15 1, 511 (1975); Sugrue et al., J. Pharm. Pharmac., 28, 447 (1976); Larson et al., <u>Life Sci.</u>, <u>21</u>, 1807 (1977); and Hynes et al., <u>Drug Dev. Res.</u>, <u>2</u>, 33 (1982).

Norfluoxetine [3-(4-trifluoromethylphenoxy)3-phenylpropylamine] is a metabolite of fluoxetine and is also known to block monoamine uptake, especially serotonin. See U.S. Patent No. 4,313,896.

It is desirable to find methods of causing analgesia which result in few, if any, adverse side effects to the patient. Thus, a method of potentiating the analgesic effect of analgesics, such as codeine, would enable one to employ less codeine to achieve the desired analgesic effect while limiting side effects normally associated with higher doses of the analgesic.

20

This invention provides a pharmaceutical composition comprising codeine in combination with either fluoxetine or norfluoxetine or salts thereof, optionally in further combination with aspirin or acetominophen. The compositions produce analgesia using lower doses of codeine than are otherwise required to produce analgesia, thereby resulting in fewer undesired side effects, such as physical dependence, tolerance, and respiratory depression.

When used throughout this description, the 10 terms "codeine," "fluoxetine," and "norfluoxetine" are meant to include not only the parent free base compounds, but also the recognized pharmaceutically acceptable acid addition salts of the respective compounds. Especially 15 preferred salts of each compound are mineral acid salts such as the hydrochloride, sulfate, and phosphate salts. An especially preferred combination of compounds consists of codeine sulfate together with fluoxetine hydrochloride.

The combination of fluoxetine or norfluoxetine and low doses of codeine is useful in three ways. First, the combination of fluoxetine or norfluoxetine and a dose of codeine that otherwise would not result in analgesia has been found to provide a useful analgesic effect. Second, the combination of fluoxetine or norfluoxetine and an analgesic dose of codeine can yield greater analgesia than the same dose of codeine alone. Finally, the combination of codeine and fluoxetine or norfluoxetine results in analgesia even when there is tolerance to codeine alone. The ability to employ 30

lesser amounts of codeine than normally required to achieve the same analgesic effect is desirable in order to limit physical dependence, tolerance, and respiratory depression, as well as other adverse side effects normally associated with chronic administration of codeine. In addition, it is apparent that the combination provided by this invention is useful for producing analgesia even in patients who have become tolerant to codeine alone.

The ability of fluoxetine or norfluoxetine to potentiate the analgesic effect of codeine was demonstrated in the mouse writhing assay. Writhing, which is characterized by contraction of the abdominal musculature, extension of the hindlegs, and rotation of the trunk, was induced in albino male mice. The extent to which writhing is reduced following administration of a test compound is an indication of the analgesic activity of that compound.

overnight and given the test compounds by gavage or subcutaneously. Writhing was then induced by the intraperitoneal administration of acetic acid (0.55 to 0.60 percent). Each treatment group consisted of five mice. The total number of writhes for the treatment group was determined during a 10-minute observation period starting five minutes after acetic acid administration. Control groups had a total of 40-60 writhes per mouse during the observation period. The results in the mouse writhing assay are presented either as the effective dose in mg/kg of the respective test compound required

to inhibit induced writhing in the test animals by fifty percent (ED₅₀), or as the percent inhibition of writhing at the particular dose of the test compound administered.

In this test system, fluoxetine hydrochloride was found to be devoid of analgesic activity when administered at doses up to 160 mg/kg 30-180 minutes before writhing was induced. However, fluoxetine was found to potentiate an inactive dose of codeine in a manner that was dependent upon the dose of fluoxetine 10 . as summarized in Table 1. The oral administration of 10 mg/kg of codeine sulfate to a mouse 60 minutes prior to the assessment of writhing provided no inhibition of the writhing. However, when a 10, 20, or 40 mg/kg dose of fluoxetine hydrochloride was administered together with the codeine sulfate, inhibition of mouse writhing increased in a dose dependent and statistically significant manner. These data demonstrate that the combination of fluoxetine with a low dose of codeine, one that 20 otherwise would not produce analgesia, provides significant analgesia in this test system.

Table 1

Fluoxetine Dose Dependently Potentiates
an Inactive Dose of Codeine Sulfate

Codeine Sulfate (mg/kg)	Fluoxetine Hydrochloride ¹ (mg/kg)	Percent Inhibition of Mouse Writhing
10	0	0
10	10	22*
10	20	30*
10	40	73*
	Sulfate ¹ (mg/kg) 10 10 10	Sulfate¹ Hydrochloride¹ (mg/kg) (mg/kg) 10 0 10 10 10 20

¹Fluoxetine hydrochloride and codeine sulfate 20 were administered simultaneously by the oral route. Writhing was assessed 60 minutes later.

The ED $_{50}$ of codeine sulfate was determined to be 27.0 mg/kg in a second experiment when administered orally 60 minutes prior to assessment of writhing. As indicated in Table 2, the addition of 20 mg/kg of fluoxetine hydrochloride administered orally together with codeine sulfate provided an ED $_{50}$ of almost one-half the control experiment where codeine sulfate was administered alone.

Significantly different (p <0.05) from codeine sulfate alone by the Student's t test.

Table 2

	Enhancement of Codeine Sulfate Analgesic Activity by Fluoxetine Hydrochloride		
5	Fluoxetine Hydrochloride ¹	Codeine Sulfate Inhibition of Mouse Writhing ED ₅₀ (mg/kg)	
10	0	27.0	
	20	15.4	

1Fluoxetine hydrochloride and codeine sulfate
were administered simultaneously by the oral route.
Writhing was assessed 60 minutes later.

The data presented in Table 3 show that when

20 fluoxetine hydrochloride was administered orally three
hours prior to the assessment of codeine sulfate analgesia, the ED₅₀ of codeine sulfate administered orally
15 minutes prior to the assessment of writhing was found
to be half of that observed when saline was administered
25 in place of the fluoxetine.

20

Table 3

Enhancement of Codeine Sulfate Analgesia
in the Mouse Writhing Assay by
Pretreatment with Fluoxetine Hydrochloride

Codeine Sulfate Inhibition
Pretreatment of Mouse Writhing ED₅₀ (mg/kg)²

Saline
18.6

Fluoxetine

Fluoxetine Hydrochloride (20 mg/kg)

9.4

¹Saline or fluoxetine hydrochloride was orally administered three hours prior to the assessment of codeine analgesia.

²Codeine sulfate was administered by the oral route 15 minutes prior to the assessment of writhing.

The concomitant administration of codeine and fluoxetine was also shown to increase codeine's analgesic effect over time. As summarized in Table 4, when the two compounds were orally administered simultaneously up to four hours before the assessment of writhing, the combination of 20 mg/kg of fluoxetine hydrochloride and 10 mg/kg of codeine sulfate provided a consistently greater analgesic effect compared to a control experiment where saline was administered in place of fluoxetine.

35

Table 4

Fluoxetine Increases Codeine's Analgesic Action
Over Time in the Mouse Writhing Assay

Percent Inhibition of Writhing

10	Minutes After Administration ¹	Codeine Sulfate 10 mg/kg + Saline	Codeine Sulfate 10 mg/kg + Fluoxetine Hydro- chloride 20 mg/kg
	30	40	71*
15	60	38	74*
	120	.37	69*
20	180	35	45
	240	22	30

¹Codeine sulfate and fluoxetine hydrochloride were administered simultaneously by the oral route.

30

35

25

Finally, a comparison of the ED₅₀ of codeine sulfate when administered subcutaneously 30 minutes prior to the assessment of mouse writhing was found to be 3.5 times greater than the amount needed when 20 mg/kg of fluoxetine hydrochloride was concomitantly administered by the subcutaneous route as summarized in Table 5.

^{*}Significantly different (p<0.05) from codeine sulfate plus saline treatment.

Table 5

Enhancement of Codeine Analgesia by Fluoxetine

5 .	in the Mouse Writhing Assay		
10	Fluoxetine Hydrochloride ¹ (mg/kg; s.c.)	Codeine Sulfate Induced Inhibition of Mouse Writhing ED50 (mg/kg)	
	0	9.8	
	20	2.8	
15	¹Codeine sulfate and fl were administered simu	uoxetine hydrochloride ltaneously by the subcu-	

Mouse writhing was assessed 30 minutes later.

20

25

30

The experiments summarized in Tables 2-5 clearly show that a combination of fluoxetine and an analgesic dose of codeine provide greater analgesia than codeine alone. Similarly, it is evident that in order to achieve the same analgesic effect, less codeine is required when fluoxetine is also administered.

This invention also provides a pharmaceutical composition comprising from about 1% to about 95% by weight of a mixture of codeine and either fluoxetine or norfluoxetine, optionally in further combination with aspirin or acetominophen, associated with a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier, excipient, or diluent.

The ratio of the components by weight is preferably from about 4:1 to 1:1 fluoxetine/codeine. 35 An especially preferred ratio is approximately 2:1 fluoxetine/codeine. The compositions are preferably formulated in a unit dosage form. The term "unit dosage

15

20

25

30

form" refers to physically discrete units suitable as unitary dosages for human subjects and other mammals, each unit containing a predetermined quantity of active material calculated to produce the desired therapeutic effect, in association with a suitable pharmaceutical carrier. The preferred unit dosage forms of the present invention contain from about 10 to about 80 mg of fluoxetine or norfluoxetine and from about 10 to about 60 mg of codeine. In addition, the unit dosage form may contain up to 1000 mg of aspirin or acetominophen, preferably 200-500 mg of aspirin or 325-650 mg of acetominophen. However, it will be understood that the specific amount of compounds actually administered will be determined by a physician, in the light of the relevant circumstances including the chosen route of administration, the age, weight, and response of the individual patient, and the severity of the patient's symptoms, and therefore the above dosage ranges are not intended to limit the scope of the invention in any way.

In making the compositions of the present invention, the compounds will usually be mixed with a carrier, or diluted by a carrier, or enclosed within a carrier which may be in the form of a capsule, sachet, paper or other container. When the carrier serves as a diluent, it may be a solid, semi-solid or liquid material which acts as a vehicle, excipient or medium for the active ingredient. The compositions thus can be in the form of tablets, pills, powders, lozenges, sachets, cachets, elixirs, suspensions, emulsions, solutions, syrups, aerosols (as a solid or in a liquid medium), ointments containing for example up to 10% by weight of

the active compounds, soft and hard gelatin capsules, suppositories, sterile injectable solutions and sterile packaged powders.

Some examples of suitable carriers, excipients, and diluents include lactose, dextrose, sucrose, 5 sorbitol, mannitol, starches, gum acacia, calcium phosphate, alginates, tragacanth, gelatin, calcium silicate, microcrystalline cellulose, polyvinylpyrrolidone, cellulose, water, syrup, methyl cellulose, methyland propylhydroxybenzoates, talc, magnesium stearate and 10 mineral oil. The formulations can additionally include lubricating agents, wetting agents, emulsifying and suspending agents, preserving agents, sweetening agents or flavoring agents. The compositions of the invention may be formulated so as to provide quick, sustained or 15 delayed release of all or any of the compounds after administration to the patient by employing procedures well known in the art.

The following examples are provided to further illustrate the formulations of this invention. The examples are illustrative only and are not intended to limit the scope of the invention in any way.

Example 1

25

Hard gelatin capsules are prepared using the following ingredients:

	* .	Quantity (mg/capsule)
	Fluoxetine hydrochloride	60
30	Codeine sulfate	40
•	Starch dried	350
	Magnesium stearate	. 10

The above ingredients are mixed and filled into hard gelatin capsules in 460 mg quantities.

Example 2

5

A tablet formula is prepared using the ingredients below:

Quantit	cy (mg/tablet	7
Norfluoxetine sulfate	80	•
Codeine hydrochloride	60	
Aspirin	325	
Cellulose, microcrystalline	510	
Silicon dioxide, fumed	20	
Stearic acid	5	
	Norfluoxetine sulfate Codeine hydrochloride Aspirin Cellulose, microcrystalline Silicon dioxide, fumed	Codeine hydrochloride 60 Aspirin 325 Cellulose, microcrystalline 510 Silicon dioxide, fumed 20

15

The components are blended and compressed to form tablets each weighing 1000 mg.

Example 3

20

An aerosol solution is prepared containing the following components:

			Weight %
•	Fluoxetine	•	0.18
25	Codeine phosphate		0.07
	Ethanol		29.75
. ,	Propellant 22		70.00
	(Chlorodifluoromethane	2)	• .

The compounds are mixed with ethanol and the mixture added to a portion of the propellant 22, cooled to -30°C and transferred to a filling device. The required amount is then fed to a stainless steel container and diluted with the remainder of the propellant. The valve units are then fitted to the container.

Example 4

10	Tablets are made up as	follows:
	Fluoxetine hydrochloride	70 mg
	Codeine hydrochloride	30 mg
•	Acetominophen	510 mg
	Starch	145 mg
15	Microcrystalline cellulose	35 mg
	Polyvinylpyrrolidone (as 10% solution in water)	4 mg
	Sodium carboxymethyl starch	4.5 mg
	Magnesium stearate	0.5 mg
20	Talc	_ l mg
	Total	800 mg

The active ingredients, starch and cellulose are passed through a No. 45 mesh U.S. sieve and mixed thoroughly. The solution of polyvinylpyrrolidone is mixed with the resultant powders which are then passed through a No. 14 mesh U.S. sieve. The granules so produced are dried at 50-60°C and passed through a No. 18 mesh U.S. sieve. The sodium carboxymethyl starch, magnesium stearate and talc, previously passed through a No. 60 mesh U.S. sieve, are then added to the granules which, after mixing, are compressed on a tablet machine to yield tablets each weighing 800 mg.

Example 5

Capsules	are	made	25	follows:
----------	-----	------	----	----------

÷	Fluoxetine sulfate	20	mg
5	Codeine sulfate	10	mg
	Aspirin	65	mg
	Starch	59	mg
	Microcrystalline cellulose	59	mg
	Magnesium stearate	2	mg
10	Total	215	mg

The active ingredients, cellulose, starch and magnesium stearate are blended, passed through a No. 45 mesh U.S. sieve, and filled into hard gelatin capsules in 215 mg quantities.

Example 6

Suppositories are made as follows:

20	Fluoxetine phosphate	80 mg
	Codeine hydrochloride	60 mg
	Saturated fatty acid glycerides to	2,000 mg

25 The active ingredients are passed through a No. 60 mesh U.S. sieve and suspended in the saturated fatty acid glycerides previously melted using the minimum heat necessary. The mixture is then poured into a suppository mold of nominal 2 g capacity and allowed to cool.

Example 7

Suspensions are made as follows:

	Norfluoxetine hydrochloride	70 mg
5	Codeine sulfate	40 mg
	Acetominophen	325 mg
	Sodium carboxymethyl cellulose	50 mg
	Syrup	1.25 ml
	Benzoic acid solution	0.10 ml
10	Flavor	q.v.
	Color	g.v.
	Purified water to	5 ml

The medicaments are passed through a No. 45

mesh U.S. sieve and mixed with the sodium carboxymethyl cellulose and syrup to form a smooth paste. The benzoic acid solution, flavor and color are diluted with some of the water and added, with stirring. Sufficient water is then added to produce the required volume.

CLAIMS

- A pharmaceutical composition which comprises fluoxetine or norfluoxetine or salts thereof in combination with codeine, optionally in further combination with aspirin or acetominophen.
 - 2. A composition according to claim 1 employing fluoxetine hydrochloride.
- 3. A composition according to claim 2 wherein the ratio of fluoxetine hydrochloride to codeine sulfate is approximately 2:1.
 - 4. A composition according to claim 1 employing from about 10 to about 80 mg of fluoxetine hydrochloride and from about 10 to about 60 mg of codeine sulfate.
 - 5. A composition according to any one of claims 1 to 4 which is formulated for oral administration.